

The Stylized Facts About Slower Productivity Growth in Canada

Andrew Sharpe and John Tsang

Centre for the Study of Living Standards

Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Canadian Economic Association

Saturday, June 2nd 2018

Outline of Presentation

- I. Introduction
- II. Slowdown at the Aggregate Level
- III. Slowdown at the Industrial Level
- IV. Slowdown at the Provincial Level
- V. The Post-2000 Slowdown

VI. Summary

Aggregate LP Growth

Trends in Business Sector Labour Productivity (LP) between Output Peaks, 1961 - 2016



Source: CANSIM table 383-0021.

Aggregate LP Growth

Business Sector Labour Productivity Growth, 1961 – 2016



- A two-step downward trend: (1) 70s 80s and (2) Early 2000s
- The first decline (1973 1981): 1.7 percentage points
- The second decline (2000 2016): 0.8 percentage points

Sharpe and Tsang

Sources of Aggregate LP Growth

Percentage Point Contributions to Labour Productivity Growth, Business Sector



- TFP growth accounted for four fifths of the LP slowdown
- No contribution to the LP slowdown came from capital intensity

Aggregate LP Growth: Decomposition

Percentage Point Contributions to Labour Productivity Growth, Business Sector



WSE: Within Sector Effect, RLE: Reallocation Level Effect, RGE: Reallocation Growth Effect, TE: Total Effect

The slowdown is not unique in Canada...



- Between 1981 2000 and 2000 2016
 - 30 of 33 OECD countries' GDP per hour declined
 - Canada (-0.5 percentage point) had the 7th smallest GDP per hour growth

Total Factor Productivity (TFP) Growth

Trends in Business Sector TFP between Output Peaks, 1961 - 2016



Sharpe and Tsang

Total Factor Productivity (TFP) Growth

Trends in Business Sector TFP between Output Peaks, 1961 - 2016



Aggregate TFP Growth

Business Sector Total Factor Productivity Growth, 1961 – 2016



- A two-step downward trend: (1) 1970s and (2) Early 2000s
- The first decline (1973 1981): -1.37 percentage points
- The second decline (2000 2008): -1.05 percentage points

LP & TFP Growth By Industry

Between 1981 – 2000 and 2000 – 2016:

- LP and TFP growth by industry in the two periods are similar.
- Growth
 - 8 / 15 Industries declined
 - Mining and oil and gas extraction had the greatest decline
 - Service industries had positive growth
 - Slowdown concentrated in the goods sector

LP & TFP Growth By Industry

Between 1981 – 2000 and 2000 – 2016:

- Contribution to Growth
 - 8 / 15 Industries had negative contribution
 - Manufacturing had the greatest negative contribution
 - Service industries had positive contribution

LP & TFP Growth By Province

Between <u>1997</u> – 2000 and 2000 – 2016:

- LP and TFP growth in the two periods are similar.
- Growth
 - LP : 8 / 10 provinces declined
 - TFP: 7 / 10 provinces declined
 - Newfoundland and Labrador declined the most, followed by Ontario
- Ontario contributed the most to LP growth, followed by Quebec

Post-2000: LP Slowdown



• Growth accounting: TFP picked up after 2008

- Similar overall growth but different patterns:
 - 2000 2008: +ve reallocation effect
 - 2008 2016: -ve reallocation effect

Post-2000: TFP Slowdown



- Different from LP: reallocation effects did not fall
- Mainly driven by within sector effects

Summary

- Both LP and TFP peaked around 2000. Growth in LP and TFP was weaker in 2000 2016 compared with 1981 2000.
- The magnitude of the slowdown after 2000 was about twice smaller than in 1970s.
- GDP per hour growth in Canada was the 6th smallest amongst OECD countries in 1981 – 2000 relative to 2000 – 2016.
- Fourth fifths of labour productivity growth in Canada between the 1981 – 2000 and 2000 – 2016 periods come from TFP.
- Slower labour productivity growth has not been pervasive across all industries.



- Manufacturing accounted for the largest of the slowdown of both LP and TFP while mining and oil and gas extraction made equally large contributions to TFP
- The post-2000 slowdown is largely a goods sector phenomenon.
- 8 of 10 provinces experienced productivity slowdown after 2000. Newfoundland and Labrador declined the most.
- Sources of LP growth, impact of reallocation effects and the number of industries experiencing stronger growth are different between 2000 – 2008 and 2008 – 2016.